

# B-Splines for Cardinal Hermite Interpolation

S. L. Lee

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada*

Submitted by Hans Schneider

---

## ABSTRACT

We give a proof of a conjecture of I. J. Schoenberg on  $B$ -splines for Cardinal Hermite interpolation without the assumption that the characteristic polynomial  $\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda)$  is irreducible over the rational field.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of Cardinal Hermite interpolation with values and first  $r-1$  ( $r \geq 1$ ) derivatives prescribed at the integers, Lipow and Schoenberg [4] introduced the class  $\mathcal{S}_{2m-1,r}$  ( $m \geq r$ ) of Cardinal spline functions  $S(x) \in C^{2m-r-1}(-\infty, \infty)$  which are piecewise polynomials of degree  $2m-1$  in each of the intervals  $[\nu, \nu+1]$   $\forall$  integers  $\nu$ . Subsequently Schoenberg and Sharma [6] introduced the  $B$ -spline  $N_s(x)$  ( $s=0, 1, \dots, r-1$ ) belonging to the space

$$\mathcal{S}_{2m-1,r}^{(s)} = \{ S(x) \in \mathcal{S}_{2m-1,r} : S^{(\rho)}(\nu) = 0 \ (\rho=0, 1, \dots, r-1, \rho \neq s) \ \forall \text{ integers } \nu \} \quad (1.1)$$

such that  $N_s(x)$  vanishes outside the interval  $(-(m-r+1), (m-r+1))$  and

$$N_s^{(s)}(\nu) = c_\nu \quad [\nu = -(m-r), -(m-r)+1, \dots, (m-r)] \quad (1.2)$$

where  $c_\nu$  are the coefficients of the monic reciprocal polynomial

$$\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2m-2r} c_{\nu-(m-r)} \lambda^\nu. \quad (1.3)$$

The main result of Schoenberg and Sharma asserts that every  $S(x) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m-1,r}^{(s)}$  admits a unique representation of the form

$$S(x) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{\nu} N_s(x-\nu). \quad (1.4)$$

However, their proof is based upon the following ad hoc assumption:

ASSUMPTION 1.  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$  is irreducible over the rational field.

That this holds if  $r$  is odd and  $2m-r$  is a prime number has been shown recently by Sharma and Strauss [7]. However Schoenberg [5] remarked that "this assumption concerns too deep an arithmetic problem in comparison with the linear algebra nature of the interpolation problem". He also remarked [6] that it would be interesting to establish the result without this assumption. The aim of this note is to give a proof of the representation (1.4) without Assumption 1.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND THE MAIN THEOREM

Let  $m, r$  be positive integers with  $m \geq r$ . The Cardinal Hermite interpolation problem (C.H.I.P.) is posed as follows:

Given  $r$  bi-infinite sequences of numbers

$$y^{(\rho)} = (y_{\nu}^{(\rho)}) \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1), \quad (2.1)$$

find  $S(x) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m-1,r}$  such that

$$S^{(\rho)}(\nu) = y_{\nu}^{(\rho)} \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1) \quad (2.2)$$

$\forall$  integers  $\nu$ . Lipow and Schoenberg [4] proved that if the data (2.1) satisfy the condition

$$y_{\nu}^{(\rho)} = 0(|\nu|^{\gamma}) \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1) \quad (2.3)$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ , then the C.H.I.P. has a unique solution  $S(x) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m-1,r}$  such that  $S(x) = O(|x|^{\gamma})$ . It was also shown that the null space

$$\mathfrak{S}_{2m-1,r}^{\circ} = \{S(x) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m-1,r} : S^{(\rho)}(\nu) = 0 \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1) \quad \forall \text{ integers } \nu\} \quad (2.4)$$

is a linear space of dimension  $d = 2m - 2r$  spanned by the eigensplines  $S_j(x)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ) which satisfy the functional relation

$$S_j(x+1) = \lambda_j S_j(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ) are the zeros (real and simple) of  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$ , which is given explicitly by

$$\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda) = P \begin{pmatrix} r, r+1, \dots, 2m-1 & : \lambda \\ 0, & 1, \dots, 2m-r-1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we use the notation  $P \begin{pmatrix} i_0, i_1, \dots, i_\nu & : \lambda \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_\nu \end{pmatrix}$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) to denote the determinant obtained from the matrix  $\| \begin{pmatrix} i \\ j \end{pmatrix} - \lambda \delta_{ij} \|$  ( $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) by deleting all the rows and columns except those labeled  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_\nu\}$  and  $\{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_\nu\}$  respectively, and  $P \begin{pmatrix} i_0, i_1, \dots, i_\nu \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_\nu \end{pmatrix}$  the corresponding determinant obtained from the matrix  $P = \| \begin{pmatrix} i \\ j \end{pmatrix} \|$  ( $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Lipow and Schoenberg [4] proved that the zeros of the polynomial  $\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda)$  are real, simple and of sign  $(-1)^r$ , and it was shown in [3] (see also [2]) that the zeros of  $\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda)$  and  $\Pi_{n-1,r}(\lambda)$  strictly interlace.

We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Every  $S(x) \in \mathcal{S}_{2m-1,r}^{(s)}$  ( $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ ) admits a unique representation of the form  $S(x) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} a_\nu N_s(x-\nu)$ .*

The proof of Schoenberg and Sharma [6] for this theorem depends on the following lemma under the condition that Assumption 1 holds.

**LEMMA 1.** *For every  $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ , the  $2m - 2r + 2$  polynomials*

$$N_s(x), N_s(x+1), \dots, N_s(x+2m-2r+1) \quad [x \in (-(m-r+1), -(m-r))]$$

(2.7)

*are linearly independent.*

In order to prove the theorem it is enough to prove Lemma 1 without Assumption 1. The remaining proof is the same as in [6].

## 3. PROOF OF LEMMA 1

We shall show that the  $2m-2r+2$  polynomials given by (2.7) are linearly independent. Suppose

$$a_0 N_s(x) + a_1 N_s(x+1) + \cdots + a_{2m-2r+1} N_s(x+2m-2r+1) = 0$$

$$\forall x \in (-(m-r+1), -(m-r)). \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$a_0 N_s^{(\rho)}(-(m-r)) + a_1 N_s^{(\rho)}(-(m-r)+1) + \cdots + a_{2m-2r} N_s^{(\rho)}((m-r)) = 0$$

$$(\rho = s, r, r+1, \dots, 2m-r-1), \quad (3.2)$$

since  $N_s^{(\rho)}((m-r)+1) = 0$  ( $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, 2m-r-1$ ). Now (3.2) gives a homogeneous system of  $2m-2r+1$  equations in  $2m-2r+1$  unknowns  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2m-2r}$ , whose determinant is given by

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} N_s^{(s)}(-(m-r)) & N_s^{(s)}(-(m-r)+1) & \cdots & N_s^{(s)}((m-r)) \\ N_s^{(r)}(-(m-r)) & N_s^{(r)}(-(m-r)+1) & \cdots & N_s^{(r)}((m-r)) \\ N_s^{(r+1)}(-(m-r)) & N_s^{(r+1)}(-(m-r)+1) & \cdots & N_s^{(r+1)}((m-r)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ N_s^{(2m-r-1)}(-(m-r)) & N_s^{(2m-r-1)}(-(m-r)+1) & \cdots & N_s^{(2m-r-1)}((m-r)) \end{vmatrix}. \quad (3.3)$$

Next we show that this determinant is non-zero. For this purpose we multiply  $\Delta$  by the following determinant:

$$V = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \lambda_1^{(m-r)} & \lambda_2^{(m-r)} & \cdots & \lambda_{2m-2r}^{(m-r)} \\ 1 & \lambda_1^{(m-r)-1} & \lambda_2^{(m-r)-1} & \cdots & \lambda_{2m-2r}^{(m-r)-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \lambda_1^{-(m-r)} & \lambda_2^{-(m-r)} & \cdots & \lambda_{2m-2r}^{-(m-r)} \end{vmatrix}$$

to obtain

$$\Delta \cdot V = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} N_s^{(s)}(-\nu) & \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} \lambda_1^r N_s^{(s)}(-\nu) & \cdots & \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} \lambda_{2m-2r}^r N_s^{(s)}(-\nu) \\ \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} N_s^{(r)}(-\nu) & \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} \lambda_1^r N_s^{(r)}(-\nu) & \cdots & \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} \lambda_{2m-2r}^r N_s^{(r)}(-\nu) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} N_s^{(2m-r-1)}(-\nu) & \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} \lambda_1^r N_s^{(2m-r-1)}(-\nu) & \cdots & \sum_{-(m-r)}^{m-r} \lambda_{2m-2r}^r N_s^{(2m-r-1)}(-\nu) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\lambda_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) are the zeros of  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$ . Observe that the elements on the first row of (3.4) are all zero except the first element, which is  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(1)$ . Hence we have

$$\Delta \cdot V = \Pi_{2m-1,r}(1) \begin{vmatrix} B_1^{(r)}(0) & B_2^{(r)}(0) & \cdots & B_{2m-2r}^{(r)}(0) \\ B_1^{(r+1)}(0) & B_2^{(r+1)}(0) & \cdots & B_{2m-2r}^{(r+1)}(0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ B_1^{(2m-r-1)}(0) & B_2^{(2m-r-1)}(0) & \cdots & B_{2m-2r}^{(2m-r-1)}(0) \end{vmatrix} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$B_j(x) = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_j^r N_s(x-\nu) \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r). \quad (3.6)$$

In Sec. 4 it is shown that the splines  $B_j(x)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) are equal to the eigensplines  $S_j(x)$  defined by the functional equation (2.5), and that the columns of the determinant on the right of (3.5) are linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^{2m-2r}$ . Since  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(1) \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $\Delta \cdot V \neq 0$  and therefore  $\Delta \neq 0$ . It follows from (3.2) that  $a_j = 0$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) and then from (3.1) that  $a_{2m-2r+1}$  is also zero. Thus the polynomials given by (2.7) are linearly independent. ■

#### 4. THE SPLINES $B_j(x)$

In proving Lemma 1 we made use of the following

LEMMA 2. Let  $B_j(x)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) be defined by (3.6). Then the

vectors

$$(B_i^{(r)}(0), B_i^{(r+1)}(0), \dots, B_i^{(2m-r-1)}(0)) \in \mathbf{R}^{2m-2r} \quad (4.1)$$

are linearly independent.

In order to prove Lemma 2 we shall first of all show that  $B_i(x)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) are equal to the eigensplines  $S_i(x) \in \mathring{S}_{2m-1,r}$  defined by the functional relation (2.5).

Clearly it follows from (3.6) that  $B_i(x)$  satisfies the functional relation

$$B_i(x+1) = \lambda_i B_i(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}), \quad (4.2)$$

and we have only to show that it is not identically zero. For this purpose let us set, for  $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s(x; \lambda) &\equiv \phi_{2m-1,r,s}(x; \lambda) = \lambda^{(m-r)} \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^{\nu} N_s(x-\nu) \\ &= \lambda^{(m-r)} \sum_{\nu=-(m-r)}^{(m-r)+1} \lambda^{\nu} N_s(x-\nu) \quad (x \in [0, 1]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Observe that  $\phi_s(x; \lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $x \in [0, 1]$  of degree  $2m-1$  when  $\lambda$  is fixed, and

$$\phi_s(x; \lambda_i) = \lambda_i^{(m-r)} B_i(x) \quad (x \in [0, 1]), \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) are the zeros of  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$ . Furthermore,

$$\phi_s^{(s)}(0; \lambda) = \Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda) \quad (4.5)$$

where the differentiation is with respect to  $x$ .

If we set

$$\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda) = P \left( \begin{matrix} s, r+1, \dots, n \\ 0, 1, \dots, n-r \end{matrix} : \lambda \right) \quad (s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1), \quad (4.6)$$

we have

LEMMA 3. For every  $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ ,

$$\phi_s^{(r)}(0; \lambda) = \frac{r!}{s!} \Pi_{2m-1,r,s}(\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \mathbf{R}), \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\phi_s(x; \lambda)$  is given by (4.3) and the derivative is with respect to  $x$ .

*Proof.* If  $\lambda$  is not a zero of  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$ , it follows from (4.5) that  $\phi_s(x; \lambda)$ , as a polynomial in  $x$ , is not identically zero. It is easy to see from (4.3) that

$$\phi_s^{(\rho)}(1; \lambda) = \phi_s^{(\rho)}(0; \lambda) = 0 \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1, \rho \neq s)$$

$$\phi_s^{(\rho)}(1; \lambda) = \lambda \phi_s^{(\rho)}(0; \lambda) \quad (\rho = s, r, r+1, \dots, 2m-r-1), \quad (4.8)$$

so that we can write

$$\phi_s(x; \lambda) = a_0 x^{2m-1} + a_1 x^{2m-2} + \dots + a_{2m-r-1} x^r + (\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)) \frac{x^s}{s!}. \quad (4.9)$$

Writing (4.9) first followed by (4.8) in increasing  $\rho$ , we obtain a homogeneous system of  $2m-r+1$  equations. Eliminating the unknowns, we see that if  $\lambda$  is not a zero of  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$ , then

$$s! \phi_s(x; \lambda) =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} x^s & 1 & \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & 1-\lambda & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ x^r & 1 & \begin{pmatrix} r \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} r \\ r-1 \end{pmatrix} & 1-\lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x^{r+1} & 1 & \begin{pmatrix} r+1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} r+1 \\ r \end{pmatrix} & 1-\lambda & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x^{2m-r-1} & 1 & \begin{pmatrix} 2m-r-1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1-\lambda \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ x^{2m-2} & 1 & \begin{pmatrix} 2m-2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} 2m-2 \\ 2m-r-1 \end{pmatrix} \\ x^{2m-1} & 1 & \begin{pmatrix} 2m-1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \begin{pmatrix} 2m-1 \\ 2m-r-1 \end{pmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$$

By continuity we conclude that (4.10) holds for all real  $\lambda$ . It is clear that (4.10) implies (4.7).  $\blacksquare$

Next we establish a useful identity for the polynomials  $\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda)$  and  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $n, r$  be positive integers such that  $n \geq 2r+1$ . If  $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ , then*

$$\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda) \Pi_{n-1,r,s}(\lambda) - \Pi_{n-1,r}(\lambda) \Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda) = -\Pi_{n,r+1}(\lambda) \Pi_{n-1,r-1,s}(\lambda). \quad (4.11)$$

*Proof.* Consider the following  $(n-r+2) \times (n-r+1)$  matrix

1	$\begin{pmatrix} s \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	...	$1-\lambda$	0	...	...	...	0
1	$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	...	...	...	$\begin{pmatrix} r \\ r-1 \end{pmatrix}$	$1-\lambda$	0	0
1	$\begin{pmatrix} r+1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	...	...	...	...	$\begin{pmatrix} r+1 \\ r \end{pmatrix}$	$(1-\lambda)$	0
$\vdots$	$\vdots$					$\ddots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} n-r \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	...	...	...	...	...	$\begin{pmatrix} n-r \\ n-r-1 \end{pmatrix}$	$(1-\lambda)$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} n-r+1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	...	...	...	...	...	$\begin{pmatrix} n-r+1 \\ n-r-1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} n-r+1 \\ n-r \end{pmatrix}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$						$\vdots$	$\vdots$
1	$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$	...	...	...	...	...	$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ n-r-1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ n-r \end{pmatrix}$

(4.12)



Let  $\mathbf{f}^{(\nu)}$  denote the  $\nu$ th column of (4.12) ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots, n-r$ ) and  $\mathbf{d}$  denote the last column. Further, let  $\mathbf{a}=(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{b}=(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$  and  $\mathbf{c}=(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$  be column vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^{n-r+2}$ . If we denote by  $D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{f}) \equiv D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}, \mathbf{f}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{f}^{(n-r)})$  the determinant whose columns are the vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{f}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{f}^{(n-r)}$  in this order, then (4.11) follows easily from the following identity (see [1], p. 7):

$$\begin{vmatrix} D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{f}) & D(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{f}) \\ D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}) & D(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}) \end{vmatrix} = D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{f}) D(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}).$$

■

LEMMA 5. Let  $n \geq 2r+1$ . If  $(r-s)$  is even, then

$$\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda) > 0 \quad \text{for } (-1)^{r+1}\lambda \geq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

where  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$  is given by (4.6).

*Proof.* If we expand the determinantal representation of  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$  in powers of  $\lambda$ , and take into account that  $r-s$  is even, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda) = & a_0 [(-1)^{r+1}\lambda]^{n-2r+1} + a_1 [(-1)^{r+1}\lambda]^{n-2r} \\ & + a_2 [(-1)^{r+1}\lambda]^{n-2r-1} + \dots + a_{n-2r+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 = & P \begin{pmatrix} n-r+1, & n-r+2, & \dots, & \dots, & \dots, & \dots, & n \\ 0, & 1, & \dots, & s-1, & s+1, & \dots, & r \end{pmatrix}, \\ a_{n-2r+1} = & P \begin{pmatrix} s, & r+1, & r+2, & \dots, & n \\ 0, & 1, & \dots, & \dots, & n-r \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \\ a_k = & \sum P \begin{pmatrix} s, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_k, n-r+1, n-r+2, \dots, n \\ 0, 1, \dots, s-1, s, s+1, \dots, r, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_k \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \sum P \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k, n-r+1, n-r+2, \dots, n \\ 0, 1, \dots, s-1, s+1, \dots, r, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, n-2r). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The first summation on the right hand side of the last equation of (4.15) is over all possible choices of  $\{\nu_2, \nu_3, \dots, \nu_k\}$  from  $\{r+1, r+2, \dots, n-r\}$ , while the second is over all possible choices of  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k\}$  from  $\{r+1, r+2, \dots, n-r\}$ .

By Lemma 6.2 in [2],  $a_k > 0$  for all  $k=0, 1, \dots, n-2r+1$ . Hence (4.13) follows from (4.14). ■

REMARK. It was in fact given in [2] that if  $r-s$  is even,  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$  has real simple zeros of sign  $(-1)^r$ .

LEMMA 6. Let  $n, r$  be positive integers such that  $n \geq 2r+1$ . If  $0 \leq s \leq r-1$  and  $r-s$  is odd, the zeros of  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$  are real simple zeros; one of which is  $(-1)^{r-1}$ , and the remaining ones are all of sign  $(-1)^r$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that  $r$  is even. By Theorem 7.3 in [2] the zeros of  $\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda)$  and  $\Pi_{n-1,r}(\lambda)$  are positive, simple and interlacing. Let us denote the zeros of  $\Pi_{n,r}(\lambda)$  by  $\{\lambda_j^{(n)}\}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, l$ ), where  $l=n-2r+1$ . Then

$$0 < \lambda_1^{(n)} < \lambda_1^{(n-1)} < \lambda_2^{(n)} < \dots < \lambda_{l-1}^{(n-1)} < \lambda_l^{(n)}. \quad (4.16)$$

From (4.11) we have

$$\Pi_{n-1,r}(\lambda_j^{(n)}) \Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda_j^{(n)}) = \Pi_{n,r+1}(\lambda_j^{(n)}) \Pi_{n-1,r-1,s}(\lambda_j^{(n)}). \quad (4.17)$$

Since  $r-1-s$  is even, it follows from Lemma 5 that the right hand side of (4.17) is positive for  $j=1, 2, \dots, l$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{sgn} \Pi_{n-1,r}(\lambda_j^{(n)}) = \operatorname{sgn} \Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda_j^{(n)}), \quad (4.18)$$

and it follows from (4.16) that  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$  has exactly one zero in each of the intervals  $(\lambda_j^{(n)}, \lambda_{j+1}^{(n)})$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, l-1$ ). Since  $\Pi_{n,r,s}(\lambda)$  is a reciprocal polynomial (see [2]), the remaining zero must be  $-1$ . ■

LEMMA 7. The splines  $B_j(x)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r$ ) defined in (3.6) are not identically zero.

Proof. In view of (4.4) and (4.7) we have only to show that

$$\Pi_{2m-1,r,s}(\lambda_j) \neq 0 \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, 2m-2r), \quad (4.19)$$

where  $\lambda_j$  are the zeros of  $\Pi_{2m-1,r}(\lambda)$ . From the relation (4.11) we have

$$\Pi_{2m-2,r}(\lambda_j) \Pi_{2m-1,r,s}(\lambda_j) = \Pi_{2m-1,r+1}(\lambda_j) \Pi_{2m-2,r-1,s}(\lambda_j). \quad (4.20)$$

Since  $\lambda_j$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,d$ ) are of sign  $(-1)^r$ ,  $\Pi_{2m-1,r+1}(\lambda_j) \neq 0$ . Also  $\Pi_{2m-2,r-1,s}(\lambda_j) \neq 0$  in view of Lemmas 5 and 6, since  $\lambda_j \neq (-1)^r$ . Hence  $\Pi_{2m-1,r,s}(\lambda_j) \neq 0$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,d$ ). ■

**COROLLARY 1.** *The splines  $B_j(x)$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,2m-2r$ ) belong to  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{2m-1,r}$  and are equal to the eigensplines  $S_j(x)$  defined by the relation (2.5) up to a constant factor.*

*Proof of Lemma 2.* Define a linear transformation  $\Phi: \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{2m-1,r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2m-2r}$  by

$$\Phi(S(x)) = (S^{(r)}(0), S^{(r+1)}(0), \dots, S^{(2m-r-1)}(0)) \quad \forall S(x) \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{2m-1,r}. \quad (4.21)$$

Clearly  $\Phi$  is linear, and we shall show that it is non-singular.

For this purpose, let  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-2r}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2m-2r}$  and define a polynomial in  $[0, 1]$  by

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) = & \frac{b_0 x^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} + \frac{b_1 x^{2m-2}}{(2m-2)!} + \dots + \frac{b_{r-1} x^{2m-r}}{(2m-r)!} \\ & + \frac{a_{2m-2r} x^{2m-r-1}}{(2m-r-1)!} + \dots + \frac{a_1 x^r}{r!}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

If we set

$$P^{(\rho)}(1) = 0 \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1), \quad (4.23)$$

we obtain a non-homogeneous system of  $r$  equations in  $r$  unknowns  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}$  which can be uniquely solved in terms of  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-2r}$ . Thus each vector  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-2r}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2m-2r}$  determines a unique polynomial  $P(x)$  satisfying

$$P^{(\rho)}(1) = P^{(\rho)}(0) = 0 \quad (\rho = 0, 1, \dots, r-1). \quad (4.24)$$

By the same argument as in [4],  $P(x)$  determines a unique spline  $S(x) \in \mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{2m-1,r}$  such that

$$S(x) = P(x) \quad (x \in [0, 1]). \quad (4.25)$$

It follows from (4.22) and (4.25) that  $\Phi(S(x)) = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m-2r})$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is non-singular.

Since the eigensplines in  $\mathring{\mathcal{S}}_{2m-1,r}$  are linearly independent, it follows

from Corollary 1 that  $\Phi(B_j(x)) = (B_j^{(r)}(0), B_j^{(r+1)}(0), \dots, B_j^{(2m-r-1)}(0))$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 2r$ ) are linearly independent. ■

REMARK. The fact that the splines  $B_j(x)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 2r$ ) defined by (3.6) are not identically zero is essential for us to conclude that they are equal to the eigensplines except for a constant factor. Professor I. J. Schoenberg has kindly pointed out that  $B_j(x) \equiv 0$  does not follow from Corollary 1 of [6]. In fact the uniqueness assertion of Corollary 1 in [6] is true only in a certain restricted sense.

I am grateful to Professors I. J. Schoenberg and A. Sharma for their kind help in writing this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 S. Karlin, *Total Positivity*, Stanford U. P., 1968.
- 2 S. L. Lee, Cardinal lacunary spline interpolation, Ph.D. thesis, University of Alberta.
- 3 S. L. Lee and A. Sharma, Cardinal lacunary interpolation by  $g$ -splines I (The characteristic polynomials). *J. Approx. Theory*, to be published.
- 4 P. Lipow and I. J. Schoenberg, Cardinal interpolation and spline functions III. Cardinal Hermite interpolation. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 6 (1973), 273-304.
- 5 I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal spline interpolation*, Regional conference series in applied mathematics, SIAM, 1973.
- 6 I. J. Schoenberg and A. Sharma, Cardinal interpolation and spline functions V. The  $B$ -splines for Cardinal Hermite interpolation, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 7 (1973), 1-42.
- 7 A. Sharma and E. G. Strauss, On the irreducibility of a class of Euler-Frobenius polynomials, *Can. Math. Bull.*, to be published.

Received 22 October 1974